Q&A Report, Proposed Major Modification, 915 Water Street - 10/21/24

Listed - Questions not Addressed (Time Expired) During Public Outreach Meeting, and Staff Responses

#	Question	Asker Name	Staff Response
	since the project is located on and near major		Great suggestion, which will be forwarded to the applicant for consideration.
	bus lines, and there is limited parking, will the		
	developer be providing bus passes to the		
51	residents?	Anonymous Attendee	
	Is having more underground levels of parking		Thank you for the suggestion; staff will discuss the potential for incorporation of subterranean parking
52	an option?	Justin	with the project applicant.
	I think the left turn from Water to Stanford is		A dedicated left turn lane was required for the previous entitlement (CP22-0092) and is anticipated to
	ALREADY very dangerous. There needs to be a		be required with the current proposal, as well.
	dedicated left turn lane, and the project		
53	should provide that.	Anonymous Attendee	
	Might you investigate the possibility of shared		Oustanding idea. Staff will discuss this option with the applicant.
	parking in lots owned by nearby businesses		
	whose lots are not used in the evenings?		
54		Anonymous Attendee	
	We have been previously been told that Q&A		See responses provided herein, posted to the City's webpage within 2 days of the Public Outreach
	questions submitted and not answered during		Meeting.
	the Zoom call would be answered afterwards.		
	Who is in charge of monitoring this, as		
	questions from previous Zoom meeting are		
	not being answered in a timely fashion.		
55		Anonymous Attendee	
	With all due respect, the city planner seems to		See staff's introductory remarks, which address the comments raised. As discussed during the
	be suggesting that things like a shade study or		meeting introducteion, the Public Outreach Meeting was held prior to the submittal of a formal
	more precise parking info are things that will		application at the request of the project applicant, with the stated intent of collecting feedback for
	be part of the formal plan submission. But to		incorporation into the project design upon submittal of the formal application. A formal application
	what end? Will the city actually be in a		requires submittal of project plans and supporting documents, such as solar studies/shading
	position to require upgrades to any		diagrams which illustrate the casting of shadows at various times of the year resulting from the
	problematic submissions? Or is it just pro		proposed project, which assist with assessment of potential loss of solar exposure. Public feedback
	forma?		gathered from this meeting will be discussed with the project applicant, and staff will work with the
	P.S. Is this whole meeting a bit of a charade,		applicant to effect revisions to the project which can be reasonably attained.
	given that there isn't even a formal plan		
56	submitted yet?	Nancy	
	Would the project affect the eligibility of		No; application for a parking permit program may be pursued by the neighborhood through
	existing residents to apply for a parking permit		coordination with the City's Public Works Department.
57	program?	Adam Rose	

	I think my design comments reference the		Thank you for the suggestion, Marc. Staff will discuss options for revision in light of your comment.
	"sameness" of many projects of this type all		
	over CA. Santa Cruz is a unique beach town,		
	perhaps something, even adornments, that		
	make the project look less generic, would be		
	helpful. Perhaps this can even be done with		
58	color choices.	Marc	
	So there are market rate units yet the project is		The term "market-rate" is a loose term which references those units not required to be made
	being described as 100% affordable?		affordable for perpetuity per the City's inclusionary housing ordinance. As currently proposed, the
59		Anonymous Attendee	project will be 100% affordable.
	I'm under the impression this is the only		See introductory comments and those included above.
	public forum required for this project.		
	If so what's the justification for having this		
	meeting before we know the details of the		
60	actual proposal?	Adam Rose	
	A design similar to 708 Water in honor of Villa		Thank you for the suggestion; staff will discuss this option with the project applicant.
	de Branciforte would be much appreciated by		
	the many Community members that provided		
	input into that successful project down the		
61	hill.	CandaceB	
	Full shade in the winter is a health and safety		Shadow studies will be required to be prepared and submitted per the City's list of required submittal
	issue, as it is an invitation for mold. What		items with the formal application. Impacts related to shading and potential loss of solar explosure will
	recourse will neighbors have who suffer		be assessed.
	negative impacts if sun is taken from them?		
62		Anonymous Attendee	
	Turning left from Water to Stanford will have a		See responses provide above.
	huge impact on the Branciforte Ave and Water		
	St intersection this intersection already has		
	cars blocking street during heavy commute		
	times. This needs to be addressed also.		
63		Marc	
	Tim, will there be a other public hearing for the		Should the proposed design be altered significantly, additional Public Outreach Meeting(s) will be
	revised project?		held. A public hearing of the City's Planning Commission, at which a decision on the project will be
			made, will be held after a complete application is received and will provide additional opportunities
			for public comment. Currently, the public hearing is tentatively anticipated to be scheduled in late
64		jane mio	January or early February 2025.

	Will CEOA compliance be required for project		The proposed project will be reviewed for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act
	Will CEQA compliance be required for project		The proposed project will be reviewed for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act
	approval?		(CEQA). The prior entitlement for the project site was found to be categorically exempt under CEQA.
			Although a formal application has not yet been received, based on information available for the
			project thus far, it is similarly anticipated that the proposed project will qualify for one or more CEQA
65		doug lockwood	exemptions.
	This being a very preliminary design draft there		Outstanding point. Although the proposed project is not required to comply with the City's Objective
	is no indication of lighting on the buildings and		Standards for Multifamily Development ("ODS"), staff will encourage that the applicant voluntarily
	their impact on surrounding areas both for		pursue consistency with the ODS. Standard conditions of approval require that downlighting/shrouds
	safety on busy corridors and privacy for the		be utilized to reduce potential impacts associated with glare from adversely affecting adjacent
	adjoining neighborhoods. Would existing		properties. Staff will additionally require preparation of a photometric plan for assessment of impacts
	objective standards hold for this project and		of project lighting to surrouding properties.
	are there adequate standards to address a 6		
	story building adjoining to single-family one-		
	story neighborhood?		
66		CandaceB	
	Do you have the caPABILy to run a poll so		We know how to use polls but have found through review of prior projects that centering community
	people can vote on items?		engagement opportunities on the provision of public comments represents a better use of
			participants' time and provides more authentic feedback than consuming community engagement
67		Anonymous Attendee	time in asking participants to respond to poll questions.
	Not having enough parking for at least 1 car		See responses to comments above.
68	per unit is crazy.	Anonymous Attendee	